## Statistics 3

## Exercise 6C

$1 \mathrm{H}_{0}$ : The observed data can be modelled by a discrete uniform distribution. (The dice is not biased.) $\mathrm{H}_{1}$ : The observed data cannot be modelled by a discrete uniform distribution. (The dice is biased.) The number of degrees of freedom $v=5$ (six data cells with a single constraint on the total) From the tables: $\chi_{5}^{2}(5 \%)=11.070$
$\sum \frac{\left(O_{i}-E_{i}\right)^{2}}{E_{i}}=\frac{4^{2}+1^{2}+1^{2}+3^{2}+4^{2}+3^{2}}{12}=4.333 \ldots$
As 4.333 is less than 11.070, there is not enough evidence to reject $\mathrm{H}_{0}$ at the $5 \%$ level and to suggest that the dice is not fair.
$3 \mathrm{H}_{0}$ : The observed data is drawn from the travel agent's expected distribution.
$\mathrm{H}_{1}$ : The observed data is not drawn from the travel agent's distribution.
The number of degrees of freedom $v=2$ (three data cells with a single constraint on the total)
From the tables: $\chi_{2}^{2}(2.5 \%)=7.378$
$\sum \frac{\left(O_{i}-E_{i}\right)^{2}}{E_{i}}=\frac{6^{2}}{10}+\frac{13^{2}}{60}+\frac{7^{2}}{30}=8.05$
As 8.05 is greater than 7.378 , reject $\mathrm{H}_{0}$; there is evidence at the $2.5 \%$ significance level that the expected distribution does not fit the data.

## INTERNATIONAL A LEVEL

4 a The expected values in the final three data columns are all less than 5 , so these categories must be merged. The adjusted table has five columns $(0,1,2,3, \geqslant 4)$ with a single constraint on the total, and therefore there are four degrees of freedom.
b $\mathrm{H}_{0}$ : Data is drawn from the expected distribution.
$\mathrm{H}_{1}$ : Data is not drawn from the expected distribution.
From the tables: $\chi_{4}^{2}(5 \%)=9.488$
The observed and expected results are:

| Dogs | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\geqslant \mathbf{4}$ | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Observed $\left(\boldsymbol{O}_{\boldsymbol{i}}\right)$ | 45 | 19 | 11 | 8 | 17 | 100 |
| Expected $\left(\boldsymbol{E}_{\boldsymbol{i}}\right)$ | 55 | 20 | 10 | 7 | 8 | 100 |
| $\frac{\left(\boldsymbol{O}_{\boldsymbol{i}}-\boldsymbol{E}_{i}\right)^{2}}{\boldsymbol{E}_{\boldsymbol{i}}}$ | 1.818 | 0.05 | 0.1 | 0.143 | 10.125 | 12.236 |

As 12.236 is greater than 9.488 , reject $\mathrm{H}_{0}$; there is evidence at the $5 \%$ significance level that the expected distribution does not fit the data.
$5 \mathrm{H}_{0}$ : Birth weights from 2000 can be used as a model for birth weights in 2015.
$\mathrm{H}_{1}$ : Birth weights from 2000 cannot be used as a model for birth weights in 2015.
The number of degrees of freedom $v=5$ (six data cells with a single constraint on the total) From the tables $\chi_{5}^{2}(5 \%)=11.070$

Calculate the expected results by multiplying the total number of observations (687660) by the percentage in each weight band in the year 2000. The observed and expected results are:

| Weight $(\mathbf{g})$ | $<\mathbf{1 5 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 0 0} \mathbf{- 1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}-\mathbf{2 4 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 0 0} \mathbf{- 2 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{3 0 0 0}-\mathbf{3 4 9 9}$ | $\geqslant \mathbf{3 5 0 0}$ | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Observed $\left(\boldsymbol{O}_{\boldsymbol{i}}\right)$ | 7286 | 9304 | 32121 | 112535 | 244472 | 281942 | 687660 |
| Expected $\left(\boldsymbol{E}_{\boldsymbol{i}}\right)$ | 8939.58 | 10314.9 | 34383 | 113464 | 245495 | 275064 | 687660 |
| $\frac{\left(\boldsymbol{O}_{\boldsymbol{i}}-\boldsymbol{E}_{i}\right)^{2}}{\boldsymbol{E}_{\boldsymbol{i}}}$ | 305.9 | 99.1 | 148.8 | 7.6 | 4.3 | 172.0 | 737.6 |

As 737.6 is greater than 11.070 , reject $H_{0}$; there is evidence at the $5 \%$ significance level that distribution seen in the 2000 data does not provide a good model for the 2015 data.

